Existence Is Infinite

Moderators: AMod, iMod

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Eodnhoj7** » Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:27 am

Eodnhoj7
Posts: 9903
Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:21 am
>
> > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:09 am
> > But forget the above:
> >
> > If contradiction is limited by its opposite and as such is not infinite because of this, then by that logic existence is limited by nonexistence and as such existence is not infinite.
>
> You are conflating the concept.
>
> viewtopic.php?p=653691#p653691
>
> Nonexistence is not and cannot be.

Duh...it's non existence but the contrast of existence is dependent by what is not, existence is defined by the negation of nothing thus relegating existence to a process of negation.

From another viewpoint:

The same logic you propose leads to a contradiction as infinity is not infinite because of its opposite of finiteness.

If opposites set limits then your argument only exists because of the critiques to it thus necessitating the critiques as necessary, but the critiques negate your argument.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:30 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Veritas Aequitas wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 5:38 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Fri Dec 27, 2024 9:00 am
> >
> > > **Veritas Aequitas wrote:** ↑ Fri Dec 27, 2024 8:31 am
> > > Reality is all-there-is **that is real**.
> > > A fantasy or illusion is not real, therefore is not part of all-there-is.
> > > What is **real** is the **processes of fantasizing** within the brain, but **what-is-fantasized [illusory]** is not real per se since there is no way a fantasy can be verified as real at all.
> > >
> > > Note the consequence where 'existence' is overriding;
> > > Theists will insist their God exists as really or the most real to the extent that their real existing God commanded them to kill believers upon the slightest threats, e.g. blasphemy, drawing cartoon, and the like. This is going on in practice and evident.
> > >
> > > However, if the above is constraint by the need to verify and justified what is real [reality] then we could prevent the terrible consequences from happening.
> >
> > Your terms are questionable.
> > For example you have not explicitly defined the core term “real”. Nor is that request for definition.
>
> **Whatever is real** is contingent upon a human-based [collective of subjects] **framework and system [FS]** of which the scientific FS is the most credible and objective.

Where is that? What is that?

> **Veritas Aequitas wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 5:38 am
> **What is real** is not illusory, fake or "unreal".
>
> An apple that is real can be confirmed to be real by the **science-biology FS**.
> An apple [or /anything] that is hallucinated cannot be a real apple [/thing], it is only an illusion.
>
> Fake honey is now very common and very difficult to detect.
> The find out whether honey is really real or fake…

You claim what is real is determined by FS. However you never explicitly define the term “real” itself; listing antonyms is not a sufficient definition.

You also seem to assert illusory things do not exist at all. No, illusory things exist, they are simply illusory. We can discuss and contemplate them because they exist. By discounting illusory things you are limiting existence.

No elaborate scientific or academic system is required for an organism to perceive existence.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:31 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:27 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:21 am
> >
> > > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:09 am
> > > But forget the above:
> > >
> > > If contradiction is limited by its opposite and as such is not infinite because of this, then by that logic existence is limited by nonexistence and as such existence is not infinite.
> >
> > You are conflating the concept.
> >
> > viewtopic.php?p=653691#p653691
> >
> > Nonexistence is not and cannot be.
>
> Duh...it's non existence but the contrast of existence is dependent by what is not, **existence is defined by the negation of nothing** thus relegating existence to a process of negation.
>
> From another viewpoint:
>
> The same logic you propose leads to a contradiction as infinity is not infinite because of its opposite of finiteness.
>
> If opposites set limits then your argument only exists because of the critiques to it thus necessitating the critiques as necessary, but the critiques negate your argument.

That is not how existence is defined.

Existence is all there is.

Last edited by daniel j lavender on Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:32 am, edited 1 time in total.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Eodnhoj7** » Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:32 am

Eodnhoj7
Posts: 9903
Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Fri Jun 16, 2023 5:44 pm
> **Existence Is Infinite**
> Daniel J. Lavender
>
> **Abstract**
>
> Existence is infinite in extent and eternal in duration. Only nothing or nonexistence could actually limit existence; however, nothing or nonexistence is not and cannot be. Existence is infinite, existence is not limited as there is [not] nothing beyond existence to limit or restrict it.
>
> **Terms and Definitions**
>
> *Existence (n.): Being; that which is perceived, at least in part; that which is interacted with, at least in part, in some way. In context of this essay existence in the general sense.*
>
> *Infinite (adj.): Immeasurable; vast; unlimited or unrestricted.*
>
> *Nonexistence (n.): Non-being; no thing, nothing, nothingness; is never perceived or interacted with other than as a concept or term; it does not and cannot exist. A contradictory concept and term.*
>
> *Consciousness (n.): Awareness; process allowing feedback of existence.*
>
> *Intelligence (n.): Recognition of patterns in existence and their application for some benefit.*
>
> *Thing (n.): An existing, material or immaterial; a part of existence. That which is perceived or interacted with, at least in part, in some way. E.g. a word, an object, matter, energy, consciousness, a concept, an event, a process, etc.*
>
> *Eternity (n.): Synonymous with existence; that which is not limited by duration.*
>
> ---
>
> Existence is and nonexistence is not.
>
> Existence is everywhere. Nonexistence is nowhere. Nonexistence does not exist, it is no thing. Every thing is something, including the concept or term nonexistence.
>
> Existence did not begin as a beginning of existence would imply a previous state of nonexistence, and nonexistence was not, is not and cannot be. As nonexistence never was existence would not require a beginning.
>
> Furthermore existence is not creation. Creation implies a point of being created, a beginning point. Existence would not be creation because existence had no beginning point or point of creation.
>
> Existence is eternal, it was not created and therefore was not intelligently designed. However, existence does concern intelligence as we possess it. At least to a certain degree.
>
> Existence is eternal. Existence did not begin and existence will not end. Existence was not created, it was not intelligently designed, it is not needed and it has no purpose. Existence just is. We, as conscious individuals, create purpose. Much like we create good and bad, right and left, up and down.
>
> Existence is infinite, however, our limited perspective creates an illusion of limitation. From this perspective we are inclined to limit existence, we are inclined to create measurements of existence although existence is essentially immeasurable.
>
> Existence is infinite, existence is not limited to any particular or any specific thing. Existence is innumerable things in innumerable places in innumerable ways; things bursting and flying, floating and flowing, flipping and flopping, beating and bouncing, whizzing and whirling around. Life, consciousness, is simply a result of that and isn't necessarily perpetuated or eternal. Nor was it necessarily deliberately created. After all we're beating, pumping hearts, flowing blood, blinking eyes, waving hair and bouncing feet.
>
> We are parts of eternity.
>
> We are parts of existence.
>
> ---
>
> **Additional Notes**
>
> - Existence is not creation in the sense existence was not created; existence is not creation alone. Existence includes creation. Existence concerns both creation and destruction, for example.
>
> - Existence is not needed. Existence is not needed as there is [not] nothing beyond existence to need or require it. Alternatively phrased, there isn't any thing beyond existence to need existence because every thing is part of existence. Existence is not needed, existence just is.
>
> - Existence is that which can, at least partially, be perceived, but it does not necessarily need to be perceived. Things can be without being perceived. Likewise things can interact without awareness, such as waves crashing onto the shore.
>
> - Immateriality, immaterial expanse is part of the structure of existence. Immateriality helps structure existence as spaces help structure sentences. The contrast of materiality, the contrast of physicality is immateriality, not nonexistence or nothing.
>
> - It may be argued that at some point the universe, or existence, was finite or limited in extent. But as stated that would only be some particular point, that would only be a limited portion of existence. That would not be the totality of existence. Existence is the whole, existence is all; existence is what we perceive as the past, present and future, existence is all aspects or all portions of all things. Existence is infinite, existence is unlimited. Existence is not limited in extent; existence is not limited to any particular area, period, point, portion, quality or thing.
>
> - Something and nothing cannot coexist. If there is something there is not nothing. Anywhere. Nothing or nonexistence exists only as a word, a term, a concept in relation to other things.
>
> - Things have properties, things have qualities. Stars are bright. Icicles are cold. The automobile is aerodynamic. Nothing or nonexistence, beyond the concept or term, has no properties or qualities as it does not actually exist.
>
> ---------
>
> Existence Is Eternal
>
> Existence is eternal. Existence is constant. Things, parts may change; they may transform, they may shift around or reform, they may break apart or break away. But existence always is, existence is constant. The foundation of any thing, the basis of substance itself concerns being, concerns existence. The thing is. Substance is. It always concerns existence. Matter or energy, things may morph or shift around but no matter the form or arrangement it always is an expression of existence.
>
> Existence Both Part And Whole
>
> Existence is both part and whole. Existence as a whole is. Parts of existence are. It is. They are. All share the same commonality of existence, of being. Whole is. Parts are. They exist. They are.
>
> Take Earth for example. There are parts of Earth and the whole Earth. Earth, the entire world, exists. However each continent, each body of water also exists. Each continent has its own name, each its own list of regulations. Each body of water has its own name. The continents are acknowledged as distinct things, the bodies of water are acknowledged as distinct things, as pieces or as parts. They also are acknowledged together as a whole, as the world or as the planet Earth. Earth's structure is comprised of several layers which also are viewed as parts or as pieces or together as the entire planet. Both parts and the whole can be and are acknowledged. This same premise applies to existence. Existence concerns both parts and the whole.
>
> "Existence" or "being" is general, and applies to all, including parts, and the whole or entirety. "An existence", "an existing" or "a being" is specific, and applies to a particular. Both are acknowledged. In other words, both are.
>
> A thing, although observably only part of existence, is still existence. A thing is not nonexistence. The fact a thing is [only part of] existence is implicit within context of interaction with said thing.
>
> All Means All
>
> Although both parts and the whole are, a part is not the whole or totality nor is the whole or totality just a part. A part is a part, the totality is the totality. A part cannot be turned into the totality, just as the totality cannot be turned into a part. A part may only represent the whole or totality or be in relation to the whole or totality. Nor can a duplicate of the totality be created. Such would be redundant, not to mention impractical. Any supposed addition to existence would still be part of existence or would still be part of the totality. In other words, there cannot be multiple totalities. Total means total, whole means whole. All means all.
>
> Unlimited In Extent
>
> Existence is not limited to any particular, existence is not limited in range or in scope. Existence isn't just any particular thing, existence is all things. Existence goes on and on and beyond, without limit. There is no edge to existence, no ending or beginning point to specify. There are only edges, there are only beginning and ending points to particulars or to things. To reach an edge is to reach an edge of some thing or some things, not existence entirely.
>
> The edge of the seashore leads to the edge of the ocean; the edge of the ocean to the edge of the seashore. The edge of Earth's atmosphere leads to outer space; the edge of outer space to Earth's atmosphere, etcetera. Materiality edges into immateriality and immateriality edges into materiality. Edges of things always lead to edges of others; things give way to other things, not no things. Edges and boundaries apply only to particular things. Existence as a whole has no edge as existence is all things. Being all, existence flows seamlessly from one thing to another. Without edge, without limit.
>
> Variance Of Existence
>
> Parts of existence both limit and expand or give variety to existence. Parts are limited as observably they are not the entirety of existence, they do not concern the full scope of existence or the qualities of other things. Yet at the same time parts of existence give variety to existence; their uniqueness contributes variance to existence. For example the grittiness, the composition of sand contributes variance to existence as it contrasts the wetness, the composition of water. The water, as part of existence, perpetuates existence beyond just the grittiness or composition of sand. Both give variety to existence with their contrasting qualities. Simultaneously sand limits the extent of water, water limits the extent of sand.
>
> Nonexistence Cannot Be
>
> Nonexistence cannot be referenced because nonexistence is not and cannot be. Only things existent, only existence can be referenced. Absence of a thing or things may be declared, but this still concerns reference in relation to existent things. For example, Bob may be absent from class, but Bob is not nonexistent. Nor does Bob's absence create a gap of nonexistence in the classroom as the room is still completely filled with or comprised of things, be it air, desks, other students, teachers, etcetera. Absence concerns reference to a subject, to an existent thing and its location. The subject of reference is Bob, is the existent thing, along with its location. The subject of reference is not nonexistence or nothing; neither nonexistence nor nothing have location or presence to be referenced in such a way.
>
> The very term "nothing" concerns reference to things. The concept or idea of nothing exists only in its relation to, and is based on, other existent things. "No thing" concerns direct reference to a thing or things. Attempting to reference nothing or nonexistence always fails as something is invariably referenced. The attempt to reference nothing or nonexistence itself results in reference to things: mental constructs or concepts of nothing, of nonexistence, or of nothingness, along with the words or terms nothing, or nonexistence, or nothingness themselves, all of which are things and are indeed existent. The words "nothing", "nonexistence" and "nothingness" are obvious contradictions as they are all observably things. Every reference is to some existent thing; nonexistence is not and cannot be.
>
> The Significance Of Perception
>
> Perception or consciousness is part of the basis of defining existence because conscious entities, such as ourselves, are who this issue matters to. Existence, things can be without consciousness or awareness, but consciousness or awareness must be included because that's what we are. For our purposes existence is that which is, or can, at least partially, be perceived. It involves perception both because perception or consciousness is part of existence and because the issue intimately concerns conscious entities. It implicitly involves perception or consciousness because that is the process used for such inquiry and exchange.
>
> Interactivity, or the ability of things to interact, or the fact that things or phenomena interact, also plays a significant role in the definition of existence. It frees the philosophy from a purely biological, conscious perspective. Chemicals interact. Atoms interact. Protons, electrons all interact on nonconscious, nonbiological levels.
>
> On Becoming
>
> Becoming is a process, becoming is in essence development. Becoming could be viewed as dynamics of things, a process pertaining to things, similar to change.
>
> Becoming is simply a process of existence, a process pertaining to individuals or parts of existence. Individuals, things become, develop or change into other things. A caterpillar, a thing, exists and becomes another, a butterfly. A student becomes a teacher, etcetera.
>
> Existence, that is all things, cannot suddenly vanish into nothing. Nor can they suddenly appear from nothing. Existence cannot suddenly become nonexistence just as nonexistence cannot suddenly become existence. Existence always is. In this sense existence does not become. Existence, generally speaking, is not becoming and did not become. Existence is eternal. However becoming, as a process or development, can pertain to parts of existence.
>
> Smallest Thing
>
> Whether there is a smallest thing or not is rather inconsequential. Even if there were a smallest thing, a smallest object, a smallest particle, etcetera, it would still be a thing, it would still be something, it would still be part of existence. A smallest thing would not create a gap of nonexistence. Existence would still be infinite, existence would still be ubiquitous; existence would still flow seamlessly from one thing to another.
>
> A smallest thing would not necessarily indicate limitation of existence, as in limitation of existence's size or extent; rather it would indicate limitation of that particular thing, limitation of the size or extent of that specific thing. It would indicate limitations of observation or ability of the observer. Existence is infinite in size and extent; existence includes every thing and is not limited to or by size of particulars. Nor is existence actually limited due to limitations of observation or ability.
>
> Eternal Life
>
> As existence never began, as existence had no starting point things wouldn't need to advance or develop from a beginning. There wouldn't be a beginning to need to develop from. Things would always be existent and could exist at any level of development at any given time. This essentially means life, or consciousness, could be eternal. This also relates to the premise that life may not have been [deliberately] created. Life, in the sense of being eternal, would not have been created nor would it have originated from a specific starting point.
>
> This philosophy also accommodates ideas concerning abiogenesis, in which case life isn't deliberately or intelligently created but rather develops gradually as a result of environmental circumstances and events. It also accommodates ideas concerning panspermia, in which case life, or its required components, are distributed by comets, meteorites and other celestial bodies amidst their interactions.
>
> Conclusions
>
> The philosophy presented herein illustrates the commonality we all share. In fact the commonality all things share. As demonstrated throughout centuries past various religions, ideologies and ideologues have served largely to confound, to divide, to stoke the fires of conflict in the world rather than to unite. Optimistically philosophy, such as the one presented here, can serve to clarify, can serve to reconcile these ideas as well as improve understanding and community throughout the world and beyond.
>
> https://linktr.ee/daniellavender

Existence requires change for distinction to occur as change allows the boundaries for something to exist. Existence is inseperable from distinction for if it where no existence would be nothing. Change requires nothingness for movement cannot occur if something is already there.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Eodnhoj7** » Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:35 am

Eodnhoj7
Posts: 9903
Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:31 am
>
> > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:27 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:21 am
> > >
> > > You are conflating the concept.
> > >
> > > viewtopic.php?p=653691#p653691
> > >
> > > Nonexistence is not and cannot be.
> >
> > Duh...it's non existence but the contrast of existence is dependent by what is not, existence is defined by the negation of nothing thus relegating existence to a process of negation.
> >
> > From another viewpoint:
> >
> > The same logic you propose leads to a contradiction as infinity is not infinite because of its opposite of finiteness.
> >
> > If opposites set limits then your argument only exists because of the critiques to it thus necessitating the critiques as necessary, but the critiques negate your argument.
>
> That is not how existence is defined.
>
> Existence is all there is.

No you said "existence is infinite" in the title. You defined it.

But you have a contradiction as if a thing is limited by its opposite, which balances it out, then infinity is limited by its opposite of finiteness.

Your logic does not hold..unless you accept contradiction. But if you do than anything goes and I am right.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:45 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:35 am
> No you said "existence is infinite" in the title. You defined it.

Again, that is the title.

Existence, the term, is explicitly defined in the Terms and Definitions section.

> **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:35 am
> But you have a contradiction as if a thing is limited by its opposite, which balances it out, then infinity is limited by its opposite of finiteness.

Read the essay and review this section. Apply the premise:

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Fri Jun 16, 2023 5:44 pm
> Variance Of Existence
>
> Parts of existence both limit and expand or give variety to existence. Parts are limited as observably they are not the entirety of existence, they do not concern the full scope of existence or the qualities of other things. Yet at the same time parts of existence give variety to existence; their uniqueness contributes variance to existence. For example the grittiness, the composition of sand contributes variance to existence as it contrasts the wetness, the composition of water. The water, as part of existence, perpetuates existence beyond just the grittiness or composition of sand. Both give variety to existence with their contrasting qualities. Simultaneously sand limits the extent of water, water limits the extent of sand.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Eodnhoj7** » Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:48 am

Eodnhoj7
Posts: 9903
Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:45 am
>
> > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:35 am
> > No you said "existence is infinite" in the title. You defined it.
>
> Again, that is the title.
>
> Existence, the term, is explicitly defined in the Terms and Definitions section.
>
> > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:35 am
> > But you have a contradiction as if a thing is limited by its opposite, which balances it out, then infinity is limited by its opposite of finiteness.
>
> Read the essay and review this section. Apply the premise:
>
> > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Fri Jun 16, 2023 5:44 pm
> > Variance Of Existence
> >
> > Parts of existence both limit and expand or give variety to existence. Parts are limited as observably they are not the entirety of existence, they do not concern the full scope of existence or the qualities of other things. Yet at the same time parts of existence give variety to existence; their uniqueness contributes variance to existence. For example the grittiness, the composition of sand contributes variance to existence as it contrasts the wetness, the composition of water. The water, as part of existence, perpetuates existence beyond just the grittiness or composition of sand. Both give variety to existence with their contrasting qualities. Simultaneously sand limits the extent of water, water limits the extent of sand.

So the title is not valid?

And you defined existence as infinite for the conclusion is the result of a framework of definition. And in the arguments, which are an explanation of the thesis you claimed, an opposite sets limits on a thing.

You basically argued by your own logic infinity is not infinity as the opposite of infinity is finiteness.

And I covered that existence requires nothingness for a movement induced distinction that allows existence to occur. This is basic taoism.

In simple terms your argument contradicts itself. Which is fine if you accept contradiction as an axiom, but you don't and if you did than everyone who disagrees is correct too.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sat Dec 28, 2024 7:02 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:48 am
> So the title is not valid?

The title is valid. The title and definition are congruent.

> **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:48 am
> …And in the arguments, which are an explanation of the thesis you claimed, an opposite **sets limits on a thing**.

On a thing.

> **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:48 am
> You basically argued by your own logic infinity is not infinity as the opposite of infinity is finiteness.

Infinite is infinite. Infinite includes finite. Both are existence.

Finiteness in this sense contributes to unlimitedness, it does not subtract.

Existence is infinite, existence is unlimited.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Veritas Aequitas** » Sat Dec 28, 2024 7:06 am

Veritas Aequitas
Posts: 15438
Joined: Wed Jul 11, 2012 4:41 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:30 am
>
> > **Veritas Aequitas wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 5:38 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Fri Dec 27, 2024 9:00 am
> > >
> > > Your terms are questionable.
> > > For example you have not explicitly defined the core term “real”. Nor is that request for definition.
> >
> > **Whatever is real** is contingent upon a human-based [collective of subjects] **framework and system [FS]** of which the scientific FS is the most credible and objective.
>
> Where is that? What is that?
>
> > **Veritas Aequitas wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 5:38 am
> > **What is real** is not illusory, fake or "unreal".
> >
> > An apple that is real can be confirmed to be real by the **science-biology FS**.
> > An apple [or /anything] that is hallucinated cannot be a real apple [/thing], it is only an illusion.
> >
> > Fake honey is now very common and very difficult to detect.
> > The find out whether honey is really real or fake…
>
> You claim what is real is determined by FS. However you never explicitly define the term “real” itself; listing antonyms is not a sufficient definition.

There is no way one can be definitive with definition of any term, they are ultimately circular.

real = actually existing as a thing or occurring in fact; not imagined or supposed.
real = (of a thing) not imitation or artificial; genuine.
google-search-dictionary
if you zoom into 'actual' 'existing' factual, they refer to each other.

This is why what is real can only be conditioned to a human-based FS which must be credible and objective, the gold standard being the scientific-FS; which FS can be better?

I have given you examples above of why 'what is real and reality must be grounded on an FS'.
It cannot be 'it is real' because "I say so" 'my parents, children, grandmother said so' 'my teacher said so' and so on.

> You also seem to assert illusory things do not exist at all. No, illusory things exist, they are simply illusory. We can discuss and contemplate them because they exist. By discounting illusory things you are limiting existence.

I have already stated, the experience [mental activities] of an illusion is real but 'that which is an illusion' exists as an illusion, what is supposed to be an illusion cannot be taken to be real.
A real illusion is an oxymoron and contradictory.

> No elaborate scientific or academic system is required for an organism to perceive existence.

The above is meaningless.
An organization perceive something and perception is confined to the brain.
What is perceived can be generated internally in the brain or can be sense-data triggered from something real externally.
There is no way, a human can perceive 'existence' per se.
'Existence' is merely an abstract idea, thus at most one can perceive the idea-of-existence or the idea-of-anything.
The idea-of-existence is the idea-of-an-idea which is an illusion, unlike say, the idea-of-apple which is can be something substantial and can be verified and justified to be real [as defined re FS-real].

The idea of 'existence' is at best an abstract idea and to hypostatize it as real persistently is delusional.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sat Dec 28, 2024 7:20 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Veritas Aequitas wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 7:06 am
> The idea of 'existence' is at best an abstract idea and to hypostatize it as real persistently is delusional.

The same could be said of “real” or any other term.

It’s an easy dismissal.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Eodnhoj7** » Sun Dec 29, 2024 1:55 am

Eodnhoj7
Posts: 9903
Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 7:02 am
>
> > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:48 am
> > So the title is not valid?
>
> The title is valid. The title and definition are congruent.
>
> > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:48 am
> > …And in the arguments, which are an explanation of the thesis you claimed, an opposite **sets limits on a thing**.
>
> *On a thing.*
>
> > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:48 am
> > You basically argued by your own logic infinity is not infinity as the opposite of infinity is finiteness.
>
> Infinite is infinite. Infinite includes finite. Both are existence.
>
> Finiteness in this sense contributes to unlimitedness, it does not subtract.
>
> Existence is infinite, existence is unlimited.

Infinity is a thing as it is a concept or experience. If a thing is limited by its opposite, as you have argued about the nature of contradiction not being infinite as non contradiction applies limits to it, thus infinity is not infinite...a contradiction.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Veritas Aequitas** » Sun Dec 29, 2024 2:19 am

Veritas Aequitas
Posts: 15438
Joined: Wed Jul 11, 2012 4:41 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 7:20 am
>
> > **Veritas Aequitas wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 7:06 am
> > The idea of 'existence' is at best an abstract idea and to hypostatize it as real persistently is delusional.
>
> The same could be said of “real” or any other term.
> It’s an easy dismissal.

Yes, real is also an abstract idea but there is no question of simply **hypostatizing** it as real, rather what is real is verified and justified within a human-based [collective of subjects] Framework and System [FS] of Reality and Knowledge, of which the scientific FS is the gold standard of credibility and objectivity.

It is the same with whatever 'exists' 'existing' or 'in-existence' which must be contingent or grounded upon a FS as above.

It is also the same with truth, fact of whatever "is"' they are all contingent upon a FS.

As such, both 'existence' and 'infinite' [infinity] if taken as a noun [object] they must be verified and justified within a credible and objective FS; problem is they cannot be subjected to the scientific FS which is the most credible and objective to be real, thus they are illusory if insisted to be real.

As I had stated 'existence is infinite' is meaningless which is the same as;

'existence exists [is] infinitely'
but what is 'existence' per se, that 'what' of 'existence itself' cannot be verified and justified as real.
what is proper is, like,
'the apple exists as a fruit [science-biology FS].

At most,
'existence' is a word defined in a dictionary where recognition and acceptance is based on popularity of use, thus anything goes.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sun Dec 29, 2024 4:23 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sun Dec 29, 2024 1:55 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 7:02 am
> >
> > > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:48 am
> > > So the title is not valid?
> >
> > The title is valid. The title and definition are congruent.
> >
> > > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:48 am
> > > …And in the arguments, which are an explanation of the thesis you claimed, an opposite **sets limits on a thing**.
> >
> > *On a thing.*
> >
> > > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:48 am
> > > You basically argued by your own logic infinity is not infinity as the opposite of infinity is finiteness.
> >
> > Infinite is infinite. Infinite includes finite. Both are existence.
> >
> > Finiteness in this sense contributes to unlimitedness, it does not subtract.
> >
> > Existence is infinite, existence is unlimited.
>
> Infinity is a thing as it is a concept or experience. If a thing is limited by its opposite, as you have argued about the nature of contradiction not being infinite as non contradiction applies limits to it, thus infinity is not infinite...a contradiction.

A concept is a concept. A concept is a thing. A thing is limited by definition as articulated in the original essay.

Existence extends beyond concepts and terms. Again, existence is all things. Existence is infinite. Existence is not limited to any particular, including any concept. Even if the concept has a conceptual counterpart.

Concepts and terms indicate things, they represent things, they mean things. The term apple indicates the fruit, for example. This is commonly understood. Likewise the term existence indicates all things, each and every thing including the term itself and the entirety of things as expressed in the essay.

The term, the word, the concept itself as a thing is limited. That which the term represents is unlimited.

Infinite is defined as unlimited or not limited. Infinite is not limited, not restricted. Infinite is inclusive. Infinite includes finite. Infinite includes finite parts. The extents and variations of those finite parts contribute to or comprise what is infinite. Review the Variance Of Existence section of the essay.

An analogy can be drawn using mathematics. In mathematical set theory an infinite set can contain finite sets. However this is not entirely the same and it should be noted that the infinite set is a set, a thing. Existence, or infinite being, is not just a thing. Infinite, existence transcends mere mathematics. Further existence is not a container of things. Existence is the things.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Eodnhoj7** » Sun Dec 29, 2024 4:41 am

Eodnhoj7
Posts: 9903
Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Dec 29, 2024 4:23 am
>
> > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sun Dec 29, 2024 1:55 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 7:02 am
> > >
> > > The title is valid. The title and definition are congruent.
> > >
> > > *On a thing.*
> > >
> > > Infinite is infinite. Infinite includes finite. Both are existence.
> > >
> > > Finiteness in this sense contributes to unlimitedness, it does not subtract.
> > >
> > > Existence is infinite, existence is unlimited.
> >
> > Infinity is a thing as it is a concept or experience. If a thing is limited by its opposite, as you have argued about the nature of contradiction not being infinite as non contradiction applies limits to it, thus infinity is not infinite...a contradiction.
>
> A concept is a concept. A concept is a thing. A thing is limited by definition as articulated in the original essay.
>
> Existence extends beyond concepts and terms. Again, existence is all things. Existence is infinite. Existence is not limited to any particular, including any concept. Even if the concept has a conceptual counterpart.
>
> Concepts and terms indicate things, they represent things, they mean things. The term apple indicates the fruit, for example. This is commonly understood. Likewise the term existence indicates all things, each and every thing including the term itself and the entirety of things as expressed in the essay.
>
> The term, the word, the concept itself as a thing is limited. That which the term represents is unlimited.
>
> Infinite is defined as unlimited or not limited. Infinite is not limited, not restricted. Infinite is inclusive. Infinite includes finite. Infinite includes finite parts. The extents and variations of those finite parts contribute to or comprise what is infinite. Review the Variance Of Existence section of the essay.
>
> An analogy can be drawn using mathematics. In mathematical set theory an infinite set can contain finite sets. However this is not entirely the same and it should be noted that the infinite set is a set, a thing. Existence, or infinite being, is not just a thing. Infinite, existence transcends mere mathematics. Further existence is not a container of things. Existence is the things.

If existence is all things than it does not extend beyond all things as you claim it does. If it does extend beyond all things, it is not a thing and essentially nothing...at which point it cannot be infinite as infinity is a quality and a quality can only be applied to things.

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sun Dec 29, 2024 7:11 am

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

> **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Sat Dec 28, 2024 6:32 am
> Change requires nothingness for movement cannot occur if something is already there.

This has already been discussed:

> **daniel j lavender wrote:** ↑ Sun Dec 01, 2024 3:24 pm
>
> > **Eodnhoj7 wrote:** ↑ Wed Aug 09, 2023 10:38 pm
> > …a thing cannot change if something is already filling its spot for potential change; one cannot walk through a door way without the door way being empty.
>
> Empty of what? Debris? Other people? Air? Matter? Space? Existence?
>
> Existence is everywhere. A door way is a door way. A thing. Existence. Not nothingness. The area or space within the door way is an area or space within the door way. A thing. Existence. Not nothingness.
>
> The appropriate term here would be unobstructed, not empty. The door way would be unobstructed.
>
> Change, motion always occurs upon the infrastructure of existence, not nonexistence.

Immateriality, immaterial expanse explained here:

viewtopic.php?p=653553#p653553

viewtopic.php?p=657242#p657242

Immaterial space, or immaterial expanse, permeates existence. Immateriality, not nothingness, is the contrast of materiality. Immaterial space has no matter or resistance allowing material bodies movement.